Statement

Prime Minister's Integrated Urban Poverty Eradication Programme (PMIUPEP)

Sl. No.	Name of the State	Total Funds (Central+State)	Expen. incurred 1995-96	Unspent (3-4)
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pr.	1901.24	1088.94	812.30
2.	Arunachal Pr.	132.05	—	132.05
3.	Assam	515.60	—	515.60
4.	Bihar	1518.60	387.88571	1200.7743
5.	Goa	174.49	7.42	167.07
6.	Gujarat	1131.74	—	1131.74
7.	Haryana	354.87	75.00	279.87
8.	Himachal Pr.	169.79	1.13	168.66
9.	Jammu & Kash.	264.13	—	264.13
10.	Karantaka	1230.41	—	1230.41
11.	Kerala	510.33	247.92	262.41
12.	Madhya Pr.	1498.52	108.61	1389.91
13.	Maharashtra	1839.24	—	1839.24
14.	Manipur	94.32	—	94.32
15.	Meghalaya	75.46	24.33	51.13
16.	Mizoram	37.74	—	37.74
17.	Nagaland	210.65	—	210.65
18.	Orissa	521.89	—	521.89
19.	Punjab	593.88	13.00	580.88
20.	Rajasthan	981.61	12.00	969.61
21.	Sikkim	75.46	—	75.46
22.	Tamil Nadu	2017.12	—	2017.12
23.	Tripura	37.73	—	37.73
24.	Uttar Pradesh	3072.65	453.76	2618.89
25.	W. Bengal	1317.34	202.71	1114.63
26.	A & N Islands	50.00	—	50.00
27.	Pondicherry	58.17	—	58.17
	Total	20455.09	2622.7057	17832.385

[Translation]

Schemes of Hudco

*649. SHRI SUSHIL CHANDRA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the extent to which Madhya Pradesh has been benefitted by the housing schemes being implemented by HUDCO;

(b) the funds provided to Madhya Pradesh in this regard during the last three years; and

(c) the places where the schemes are being implemented?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) Since its inception till 31.1.97, HUDCO has sanctioned 645 housing projects worth Rs. 663.10 crores in Madhya Pradesh for which HUDCO's loan commitment is Rs. 437.21 crores. These projects on completion will provide 130143 residential units, 826 upgraded units and 84245 developed plots.

(b) Details of loan sanctioned/released for housing during the last three years in Madhya Pradesh are as follows:-

Years	Loan Sanctioned (Rs. in crores)	Loan Released	Dwelling Units Sanctioned
1993-94	32.17	38.09	8,598
1994-95	71.49	32.56	5,945
1995-96	75.85	33.99	8,195

(c) City-wise details of housing schemes sanctioned during the last three years in the Madhya Pradesh are given in the Statement enclosed.

Statement

City-wise Details on Schemes Sanctioned to Madhya Pradesh from Ist April, 1993 to 31st March, 1994

S. No.	City Name	No. of Schemes	Project Cost	Loan Amount Sanctioned Rs. (Lakh)	Dwellings Sanctioned	Plot Sanctioned	Other Sanctioned	Sanit Sanctioned
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Bhopal	6	767.95	500.22	816	460	0	0
2.	Birsinghpur	1	345.64	199.69	108	0	0	0
3.	Burhanpur	1	207.56	148.73	121	301	0	0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4.	Chhatarpur	1	91.12	60.89	32	128	0	0
5.	Chhindwara	3	313.35	214.12	98	314	0	0
6.	Damoh	1	183.17	131.63	146	0	0	0
7.	Dantewara	1	85.83	51.50	80	0	0	0
8.	Deolund	1	95.22	56.89	57	0	0	0
9.	Gwalior	2	556.50	332.87	369	0	0	0
10.	Indore	1	274.34	137.17	0	0	0	0
11.	Jhabua	1	93.67	56.20	96	0	0	0
12.	Khandwa	1	80.92	65.37	130	0	0	0
13.	Korba	2	341.94	253.77	124	878	0	0
14.	Rajpur	2	277.85	200.87	108	0	0	0
15.	Rajnandgaon	1	108.33	83.38	64	236	0	0
16.	Ratlam	2	208.95	134.71	269	0	0	0
17.	Rewa	1	79.89	63.91	0	0	0	0
18.	Sanwer	1	53.79	39.57	0	209	0	0
19.	Satna	1	136.92	102.31	83	319	0	0
20.	Seoni	1	72.35	43.40	72	0	0	0
21.	Shahdol	2	198.51	139.69	104	189	0	0
22.	Sidhi	1	79.37	47.62	84	0		0
23.	Ujjain	1	169.19	152.27	133	0	0	0
	Total	35	4822.36	3216.78	3094	3034	0	0

City-wise Details on Schemes Sanctioned to Madhya Pradesh from Ist April, 1994 to 31st March, 1995

S. No.	City Name	No. of Schemes	Project Cost	Loan Amount Sanctioned Rs. (Lakh)	Dwellings Sanctioned	Plot Sanctioned	Other Sanctioned	Sanit Sanctioned
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Ayodhya	1	19.59	16.65	72	0	0	0
2.	Bhilai	2	220.92	165.69	230	0	0	0
3.	Bhopal	7	3441.86	2434.53	1922	4878	0	0
4.	Bilaspur	1	106.12	59.39	70	0	0	0
5.	Birsinghpur	1	267.25	148.66	91	0	0	0
6.	Chhindwara	1	116.54	59.43	0	0	0	5772
7.	Damoh	1	249.93	184.51	0	681	0	0
8.	Dewas	3	872.85	614.66	300	0	396	0
9.	Guna	1	125.75	64.14	0	0	0	6228
10.	Gwalior	1	121.97	62.20	0	0	0	6041
11.	Hanumana	1	23.21	18.11	0	120	0	0
12.	Indore	3	1555.25	1047.96	1146	0	0	18420
13.	Itarsi	1	268.96	196.89	80	448	0	0
14.	Jagdalpur	1	90.96	68.44	30	255	0	0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
15.	Jhabua	2	106.32	77.42	57	115	0	486
16.	Katni	1	359.66	191.43	175	0	0	0
17.	Khajuraho	1	26.14	19.61	20	0	0	0
18.	Khargone	1	30.38	23.87	0	156	0	0
19.	Korba	1	530.45	326.97	0	723	0	0
20.	Kosabadi	1	41.60	31.20	29	0	0	0
21.	Mandla	1	4.04	2.06	0	0	0	200
22.	Mauganj	1	16.54	12.89	0	91	0	0
23.	Panna	1	83.67	42.67	0	0	0	4144
24.	Raipur	3	665.28	421.17	414	0	0	1269
25.	Raisen	2	224.31	139.23	21	318	0	6462
26.	Sagar	2	424.18	261.52	195	0	435	0
27.	Sendhwa	1	90.62	67.99	52	95	0	0
28.	Shivpuri	1	142.97	107.59	74	79	0	0
29.	Sidhi	2	149.71	104.93	77	351	0	1000
30.	Ujjain	1	38.39	31.68	0	192	0	0
31.	Urban Areas	1	284.96	145.33	0	0	0	14114
	Total	48	10700.33	7148.82	5055	8402	831	64136

City-wise Details of Schemes Sanctioned to Madhya Pradesh from Ist April, 1995 to 31st March, 1996

S. No.	City Name	No of Schemes	Project Cost	Loan Amount Sanctioned Rs. (Lakh)	Dwellings Sanctioned	Plot Sanctioned	Other Sanctioned	Sanit Sanctioned
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1.	Balaghat	3	226.26	163.55	212	0	0	0
2.	Bastar	1	192.09	134.46	134	0	0	0
3.	Bawadia	1	75.03	57.48	68	127	0	0
4.	Bhilai	4	356.42	243.96	320	0	20	0
5.	Bhind	2	274.54	192.15	192	0	0	0
6.	Bhopal	7	1908.56	1363.77	1302	3276	0	0
7.	Bilaspur	2	105.39	72.02	100	0	0	0
8.	Chhatarpur	1	78.68	55.09	56	0	0	0
9.	Damoh	2	234.62	171.53	193	0	0	0
10.	Dantewara	1	85.28	59.60	64	0	0	0
11.	Dewas	2	541.72	291.27	131	0	84	0
12.	Dhar	4	398.99	307.86	624	0	0	0
13.	Durg	3	411.16	292.71	220	63	0	0
14.	Guna	1	34.35	24.02	26	0	0	0
15.	Gwalior	4	275.99	200.76	244	0	0	0
16.	Indore	4	702.34	384.68	68	18	624	0
17.	Itarsi	1	58.28	40.80	44	0	0	0
18.	Jabalpur	11	1576.46	959.97	750	652	169	0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
19.	Jagdalpur	1	69.48	48.56	52	0	0	0
20.	Khandwa	1	69.63	48.74	52	0	0	0
21.	Khargone	1	27.04	18.92	20	0	0	0
22.	Kuhhari	1	24.37	20.70	50	0	0	0
23.	Manasa	1	32.60	25.90	41	20	0	0
24.	Mandideep	1	42.27	31.70	50	0	0	0
25.	Mhow	1	49.42	35.84	47	0	0	0
26.	Nagda	1	88.62	66.86	56	48	0	0
27.	Narsimhapur	1	79.01	55.29	55	0	0	0
28.	Panna	1	91.51	64.04	64	0	0	0
29.	Pithampur	1	465.26	262.42	246	0	0	0
30.	Raigarh	1	16.38	13.92	50	0	0	0
31.	Raipur	4	242.65	194.16	438	0	0	0
32.	Rajgarh	2	144.01	92.22	196	0	0	0
33.	Rajnandgaon	1	99.99	69.99	72	0	0	0
34.	Ratlam	2	600.25	386.68	45	244	0	0
35.	Rewa	1	18.72	13.10	14	0	0	0
36.	Sagar	2	214.78	157.67	75	642	0	0
37.	Satna	1	255.56	160.51	104	17	0	0
38.	Sehore	1	19.67	13.77	14	0	0	0
39.	Shajapur	1	32.25	22.56	24	0	0	0
40.	Sidhi	1	36.50	32.65	50	180	0	0
41.	Thanamandi	1	37.88	26.48	28	0	0	0
42.	Tikamgarh	1	80.13	56.04	60	0	0	0
43.	Ujjain	6	562.97	443.68	431	361	0	0
44.	Urban Areas	3	296.08	207.06	216	0	0	0
	Total	93	11233.19	7585.14	7298	5648	897	0

Reply to Letters

650. SHRI AMAR ROY PRADHAN: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether letters received by Director-General (Works), CPWD, New Delhi, from Residents Welfare Associations, are not even replied by him or his office;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether letters addressed to him by MPs are also not being replied by him, but are being replied by his subordinates, if so, the reasons therefor; and

(d) the remedial steps Government propose to take in this respect?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT AND MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS (DR. U. VENKATESWARLU): (a) and (b) Letters received from the Residents Welfare Associations are promptly forwarded to the concerned Chief Engineers for taking further necessary action. Such issues are also discussed by the concerned Executive Engineers and Superintending Engineers through periodical meetings with the Welfare Associations and necessary actions are generally taken at their level. On some occasions some such letters might not have been acknowledged by Director General (Works). However, Director General (Works) office has recently started acknowledging all such letters.

(c) and (d) Letters addressed to Director General (Works), CPWD by the Hon'ble M.Ps are generally being acknowledged by Director General (Works) himself. In few cases, some letters were acknowledged by other officers of the rank of Chief Engineer and above to whom these letters are forwarded. However, directions have now been issued that all letters received from the Hon'ble M.Ps must be acknowledged and replied to by the officers to whom they are addressed.

Atomic Power Generation

651. SHRI CHINTAMAN WANAGA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state: